1	[Submitting Counsel below]			
2				
3				
4				
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
8	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT	Case No. 23-md-03084-CRB		
9	LITIGATION	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO		
10	This Document Relates to:	CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE		
11	ALL CASES	FILED UNDER SEAL		
12	ALL CASES			
13				
14	Under Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(f), Plaintiffs move the Court to consider whether			
15	material designated confidential by Uber should be sealed.			
16	Following the February 29, 2024 discovery hearing, the Court authorized the parties to file			
17	two-page letter briefs regarding whether a joint investigation by the San Francisco and Los			
18	Angeles District Attorneys fell within the purview of Pretrial Order No. 5. ECF No. 327. On			
19	March 11, 2024, Uber produced to Plaintiffs document requests from the DA inquiry. See ECF			
20	No. 337.			
21	Plaintiffs' letter brief refers to a set of Demands for Production of Documents from the			
22	DAs' lawsuit which Uber designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES			
23	ONLY."			
24	Material To Be Filed Under Seal			
25	The material to be filed under seal is a portion of Plaintiffs' letter brief and an exhibit			
26	containing the Demands for Production of Documents. Thus, Plaintiffs request the Court consider			
27	whether the following should be filed under seal:			
28				
	1			

1	
1	
1	

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

1112

13

14

1516

17 18 Dated: March 11, 2024

19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

Document	Description	Designating Party
Plaintiffs' Letter Brief	Portion of briefing referring to	Uber
Re: San Francisco and	documents Defendants filed	
Los Angeles DA	under seal	
Investigation		
Exhibit B to Plaintiffs'	UBER-MDL3084-000053407-	Uber
Letter Brief	53446 (First Set of Demands	
	For Production of Documents to	
	Uber Technologies, Inc., People	
	of the State of California v.	
	Uber Technologies, Inc. et al.	
	Case No. CGC-14-543120 (S.F.	
	Sup. Ct.)	

Under Local Rule 79-5(f)(3), Uber bears the responsibility to establish that all of the designated material is sealable.

This motion complies with Civil Local Rule 7-11 and 79-5, and the following attachments accompany this motion:

- 1. The Declaration of Sarah R. London in Support of this Motion; and
- 2. A Proposed Order that lists in tabular format all material sought to be sealed.

## Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Sarah R. London

Sarah R. London (SBN 267093)

## LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP

275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008 slondon@lchb.com

By: /s/ Rachel B. Abrams

Rachel B. Abrams (SBN 209316)

## PEIFFER WOLF CARR KANE CONWAY & WISE, LLP

555 Montgomery Street, Suite 820 San Francisco, CA 94111

## 

1	Telephone: (415) 426-5641
2	Facsimile: (415) 840-9435 rabrams@peifferwolf.com
3	
4	<u>By: /s/ Roopal P. Luhana</u> Roopal P. Luhana
5	
6	CHAFFIN LUHANA LLP 600 Third Avenue, 12th Floor
7	New York, NY 10016 Telephone: (888) 480-1123
8	Facsimile: (888) 499-1123 luhana@chaffinluhana.com
9	
10	Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	